# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Ethynyl Side Chain Hydration during Synthesis and Workup of "Clickable" Oligonucleotides: Bypassing Acetyl Group Formation by Triisopropylsilyl Protection

Sachin A. Ingale,  $\ddot{t}$ , $\ddot{t}$  Hui Mei,  $\ddot{t}$ , $\ddot{t}$  Peter Leonard, $\ddot{t}$  and Frank Seela\*, $\ddot{t}$ , $\ddot{t}$ 

 $^\dagger$ Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Center for Nanotec[hn](#page-10-0)ology, Heisenbergstraße 11, 48149 Münster, Germany

 $^\ddag$ Laboratorium für Organische und Bioorganische Chemie, Institut für Chemie neuer Materialien, Universität Osnabrück, Barbarastraße 7, 49069 Osnabrück, Germany

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-10-0)STRACT: [Clickable olig](#page-10-0)onucleotides with ethynyl residues in the 5 position of pyrimidines (ethdC and ethdU) or the 7-position of 7- $\overline{\text{deazaguanine}}^{\text{ (eth}\text{ }_{\text{C}}\text{'}\text{G}_{\text{d}})}$  are hydrated during solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis and workup conditions. The side products were identified as acetyl derivatives by MALDI-TOF mass spectra of oligonucleotides and by detection of modified nucleosides after enzymatic phosphodiester hydrolysis. Ethynyl  $\rightarrow$  acetyl group conversion was also studied on ethynylated nucleosides under acidic and basic conditions. It could be shown that side chain conversion depends on the nucleobase structure. Triisopropylsilyl residues were introduced to protect ethynyl residues from hydration. Pure, acetyl group free oligonucleotides were isolated after desilylation in all cases.

### ethynylated DNA acetylated DNA major product minor product (formed by hydration) NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO  $N(iPr)$ BASE = <sup>/bu</sup>c<sup>7</sup>Gua, <sup>ac</sup>Cyt, Ura  $Si(iPr)$ **DMTrO**  $N(iPr)$ TIPS ethynylated DNA ethynylated DNA NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO

### **ENTRODUCTION**

Alkynyl groups are currently used in nucleoside and oligonucleotide synthesis to make these compounds applicable for functionalization with different reporter groups by copperpromoted Huisgen-Meldal-Sharpless click chemistry.<sup>1,2</sup> As ethynyl groups are less space demanding than octadiynyl residues, they have been preferred over long-side[-ch](#page-10-0)ain derivatives in enzyme-assisted DNA synthesis catalyzed by polymerases.<sup>3</sup> Compounds 2−4 (Figure 1) were successfully



Figure 1. Structures of 7-ethynyl-7-deazapurine and 5-ethynylpyrimidine nucleosides.

incorporated into DNA in the form of their triphosphates by a template-controlled polymerase chain reaction. By this means, the intracellular detection of cytosine was studied with the triphosphate of 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxycytidine (ethdC) in genomic  $\hat{DNA}^{4}$  The 7-ethynyl-7-deaza-2'-deoxyguanosine 1  $\text{e}^{\text{eth}}\text{c}^7\text{G}_d$ ) and 7-ethynyl-7-deaza-2′-deoxyadenosine 4  $(\mathrm{^{eth}c^7A_d})$  were used

for the metabolic labeling of DNA in vivo.<sup>5a</sup> The triphosphate of 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine 3 (ethdU) has found application in place of 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine in a cell [pro](#page-10-0)liferation assay.<sup>5b</sup>

The situation is different when phosphoramidite chemistry<sup>6</sup> is employed for the synthesis of oligonucleotides with alky[nyl](#page-10-0) side chains on the nucleobases. While those with octadiyn[yl](#page-10-0) residues are commonly in use, $7$  we noticed serious problems when phosphoramidites of ethynyl nucleosides were utilized.<sup>8</sup> Side products were formed, an[d](#page-10-0) they often became the major components instead of the target oligonucleotides. As th[e](#page-10-0) chromatographic mobility of contaminated oligonucleotides is often the same as that of the ethynylated oligonucleotides, formation of the former can be easily overseen, and clean oligonucleotides are not accessible. We were able to circumvent this problem partially for the synthesis of 5-ethynyl-dU oligonucleotides, when short deprotection times were used.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, for functionalization of ethynyl side chains, the click reaction was performed on a solid support or alread[y](#page-10-0) functionalized phosphoramidites were used.<sup>9</sup> Thus, either ethdU oligonucleotides could not be obtained efficiently or were isolated after cumbersome purification i[n](#page-10-0) only moderate yield.

In this situation, we started a careful inspection of the side products formed during solid-phase synthesis and the workup procedure using oligonucleotide deprotection in concentrated

Received: August 14, 2013 Published: October 18, 2013

© 2013 American Chemical Society 11271 dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo401780u | J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11271−11282

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

a<br>Reagents and conditions: (i) trimethylsilylacetylene,  $[Pd^0[P(\text{Ph}_3)_4]$ , CuI, DMF, Et<sub>3</sub>N, room temperature, 12 h; (ii) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 12 h; (iii) iBu<sub>2</sub>O, TMSCl, anhydrous pyridine, room temperature, 3 h; (iv) 4,4'-dimethoxytriphenylmethyl chloride, anhydrous pyridine, room temperature, 12 h; (v) NC(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>OP(Cl)N(i-Pr)<sub>2</sub>, (i-Pr)<sub>2</sub>NEt, anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature.





a<br>Reagents and conditions: (i) 4,4'-dimethoxytriphenylmethyl chloride, anhydrous pyridine, room temperature, 16 h; (ii) acetic anhydride, DMF, room temperature, 15 h; (iii)  $NC(CH_2)_2OP(Cl)N(i-Pr)_2$ ,  $(i-Pr)_2NEt$ , anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$ , room temperature.

aqueous ammonia. This paper reports on the incorporation of nucleosides 1−4 (Figure 1) into oligonucleotides by using their phosphoramidites (9, 10, 13, and 14), evaluates the structures of the major side pro[du](#page-0-0)cts, and provides a protocol to circumvent these difficulties with phosphoramidites that are protected with triisopropylsilyl (TIPS) groups on the ethynyl side chains.

# ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Phosphoramidites 9 and 13 with Ethynyl Side Chains. For this study, four different phosphoramidites based on the structures 1−4 were prepared. The building blocks  $10^8$  and  $14^{10}$  are known, while the syntheses of phosphoramidites 9 and 13 are described below. Recently, a two-step s[yn](#page-10-0)thesis wi[tho](#page-11-0)ut isolation of the trimethylsilyl (TMS)

intermediate 6 was reported for nucleoside 1 on a small scale with low yield  $(19\%)$ .<sup>5a</sup> Now, the synthesis of nucleoside 1 was performed including the isolation of intermediate 6. Toward this end, the palladi[um](#page-10-0)-catalyzed Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction was performed on nucleoside  $5^{11}$  with trimethylsilylacetylene, giving 6 in 77% yield. Deprotection in MeOH/  $K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  gave nucleoside 1 in 77% yield. [Th](#page-11-0)e overall yield over two steps was 59%. Then compound 1 was protected in the 2 position with an isobutyryl residue ( $\rightarrow$  7, 75%), converted to the 5′-O-DMTr derivative 8 (57%) and was finally phosphitylated to afford 9 in 75% yield (Scheme 1). Phosphoramidite 10 was synthesized according to an already published procedure.<sup>8</sup>

Next, phosphoramidite 13 was prepared from 5-ethynyl-2′ [d](#page-10-0)eoxycytidine<sup>12</sup> (2). The 5'-DMTr residue was introduced under standard conditions to give compound 11 (79%).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 2. Reversed-phase HPLC elution profiles of purified oligonucleotides monitored at 260 nm using gradient system II: (a) 5′-d(AGT ATT 1AC CTA) (ODN 17); (b) 5′-d(TAG GT2 AAT ACT) (ODN 18); (c) 5′-d(AGT AT3 GAC CTA) (ODN 19); (d) 5′-d(TAG GTC 4AT ACT) (ODN 20).



Figure 3. HPLC profiles of the enzymatic hydrolysis products monitored at 260 nm using gradient systems III and IV: (a) ODN 17; (b) ODN 18; (c) ODN 19; (d) ODN 20.

Acetylation of the 4-amino group gave 12 (73%). Final phosphitylation furnished phosphoramidite 13 in 63% yield (Scheme 2). Phosphoramidite  $14$  was prepared as described.<sup>10</sup> All compounds were characterized by elemental analyses or ESI-TOF [m](#page-1-0)ass spectra as well as by their  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  N[MR](#page-11-0) spectra (see the Supporting Information). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts are given in the Experimental Section (Table 3) and were assigned by <sup>1</sup>H−<sup>13</sup>C coupling constants (Tables S1 and S2, Supporting [Information](#page-10-0)[\) and DEPT-135 NM](#page-6-0)R spect[ra](#page-7-0).

Syntheses of Oligonucleotides Using Ethynylated Phosp[horamidites 9, 10, 13,](#page-10-0) and 14. Following monomer synthesis, oligonucleotides (ODNs) were prepared on a solid phase using the phosphoramidites 9, 10, 13, and 14 together with standard building blocks. Nucleosides 1−4 were incorporated into central positions of the oligonucleotides 5′ d(TAGGTCAATACT) (15) or 3′-d(ATCCAGTTATGA) (16), thereby replacing particular dG, dC, dT, or dA residues (Table 1). After solid-phase synthesis, the oligonucleotides were cleaved from the solid support and deprotected in concent[ra](#page-6-0)ted aqueous ammonia at 55 °C for 16 h. The oligonucleotides were purified by reversed-phase HPLC (RP-18), detritylated with 2.5% dichloroacetic acid in dichloromethane, and again purified by HPLC. Materials of the single peaks were isolated in all cases (Figure 2). Subsequently, the molecular masses of the single peak contents were determined by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Unexpectedly, the mass spectra of the isolated oligonucleotides 17−19 containing nucleosides 1−3 showed two masses (Figure 2a−c). The lower masses in the MALDI-TOF spectra correspond to the calculated values for the ethynylated oligonucleotides (3668.5

for ODN 17, 3668.3 for ODN 18, 3653.5 for ODN 19), while the higher masses indicate the presence of "side products" (3686.4 for ODN 17, 3686.4 for ODN 18, 3671.6 for ODN 19) (Table 1 in the Experimental Section and Figures S1−S4 in the Supporting Information). The mass difference between the expected p[ro](#page-6-0)duct [masses and the masses](#page-6-0) for the side product amounts to  $~\sim$ [18 mass units](#page-10-0). In all cases (ODNs 17−19), the "side product" masses gave the major mass peaks, and the expected ethynylated oligonucleotides were only the minor components. Unfortunately, the mobilities on reversed-phase HPLC of the expected oligonucleotide and side products are the same, and the product content could only be verified by their mass differences (Figure 2). Oligonucleotide 20 incorporating the 2′-deoxyadenosine derivative 4 was an exception. It showed a single HPLC peak with the calculated mass and without contamination (Figure 2d).

The presence of side products was further evidenced by enzymatic hydrolysis of the synthesized oligonucleotides. Toward this end, the nucleoside composition of ethynylated ODNs 17−20 containing 1−4 was determined by tandem enzymatic hydrolysis with snake venom phosphodiesterase and alkaline phosphatase in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.3) at 37 °C (for details, see the Experimental Section). The mixtures obtained from the digest were analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC (RP-18, at 260 n[m\), showing the peaks f](#page-6-0)or the canonical nucleosides and the ethynylated nucleosides 1−4 (Figure 3 and Figure S5 in the Supporting Information). For oligonucleotides incorporating nucleosides 1−3, additional peaks were found indicating forma[tion of side products \(F](#page-10-0)igure 3a−c). The ratio of ethynyl to side product was roughly 1:3 for ODNs 17 and 18. In the case of ODN-19, two side products were formed. Only the digest of oligonucleotide 20, containing 4, gave a clean pattern without showing side product formation, thereby confirming the mass spectrometric data.

Peak heights corresponding to the ethynylated nucleosides and side products are small compared to those of the canonical nucleosides. This is a result of single incorporations of the modified residues and their low 260 nm extinction coefficients (see Table 2 in the Experimental Section; for UV spectra see Figure S6 in the Supporting Information). According to their mass spectr[a,](#page-7-0) side pr[oducts were probably f](#page-6-0)ormed by hydration, as they contain [18 mass units more](#page-10-0) than the ethynyl compounds. However, it was necessary to examine whether these reactions occurred during oligonucleotide synthesis (iodine oxidation in water and/or detritylation with trichloroacetic acid) and/or workup (concentrated aqueous ammonia treatment). Therefore, additional information on the behavior of monomeric nucleosides 1−3 under acidic and alkaline conditions was collected.

Acid-Catalyzed Hydration of Ethynyl-Modified Nucleosides 1−4. It is expected that side product formation occurs under acidic or oxidative aqueous conditions during solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis of compounds 1−3. Consequently, the nucleosides were subjected to acid treatment. Hydration of 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine was already reported by Walker<sup>13</sup> using 0.1 M aqueous  $H_2SO_4$  (7 days at room temperature), and the compound was also prepared by Mertes $14$  by glycos[yla](#page-11-0)tion of the acetylated nucleobase. Water addition follows the Markovnikov rule and yields acetyl comp[oun](#page-11-0)ds.<sup>15</sup> According to these observations and the molecular weight increase of the oligonucleotides (corresponding to one [w](#page-11-0)ater molecule), the acid-catalyzed hydration reaction of nucleosides 1–4 in MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (9/1 mixture) containing  $H_2SO_4$  was studied first (Scheme 3). Only nucleosides 1 and 3 provided new nucleosides (21 and 24), which were isolated in 70−80% yield. The reaction product of 3 was already described as  $24<sup>{13}</sup>$  while the hydration product of 1 was assigned to 21 on the basis of mass spectra and <sup>1</sup>H NMR and  $^{13}$ C NMR chemical shift[s.](#page-11-0) The signals of a methyl group appeared in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 21, and the side chain gave 13C NMR signals similar to those of nucleoside 24 (Table 3 in the Experimental Section). For the conversion of the ethynylated 7-deaza-2′-deoxyguanosine (1), only a catalytic [am](#page-7-0)ount of acid (0.1 equiv of  $H_2SO_4$  relative to alkyne) was required to yield the 7-acetyl nucleoside 21, while the conversion of the dU analogue 3 to the acetyl compound 24 required 1 equiv of  $H_2SO_4$ . In the case of <sup>eth</sup>dC (2), the situation is different. Here, acid treatment led to cleavage of the N-glycosylic bond and formation of a 1:1 mixture of 5 ethynylcytosine<sup>16</sup> (22) and 5-acetylcytosine<sup>17</sup> (23) in 84% overall yield. Both components were identified by NMR spectra and mass da[ta.](#page-11-0) Treatment of 7-deaza-2′[-d](#page-11-0)eoxyadenosine derivative 4 with  $H_2SO_4$  did not yield any product. Therefore, the reactivity of the ethynyl side chains toward  $H_2SO_4$  in MeOH/H2O strongly depends on the nucleobase structure. The 7-deazaguanine nucleoside 1 is the most sensitive nucleoside toward water addition, while the  $\text{eth}$ dC 2 is the most sensitive nucleoside toward acid-catalyzed glycosylic bond hydrolysis. The 7-deaza-2′-deoxyadenosine analogue 4 was stable under these conditions.

Stability of Nucleosides 1−4 in Concentrated Aqueous Ammonia. Next, the effect of concentrated aqueous ammonia on the ethynylated nucleosides 1−4 was investigated Scheme 3. Acid-Catalyzed Hydration Reactions of Ethynyl-Modified Nucleosides 1−4



at elevated temperature (55  $^{\circ}$ C, 16 h). These conditions correspond to those used for oligonucleotide deprotection. First, the reactions were performed on an analytical scale and were monitored by TLC. The crude mixtures of nucleosides 1 and 4 did not show any additional spot, while those of compounds 2 and 3 showed new products migrating faster than the starting materials. The formation of these products was further evidenced by HPLC analysis, shown in Figure 4.

The ratio of the ethynyl nucleoside 2 and side product 25 (after 16 h treatment with concentrated aqueous am[mo](#page-4-0)nia at 55 °C) was approximately 2:1, and for nucleoside 3 and side product 24 a ratio of 4:1 was observed by HPLC. The mobility of the side product formed by  $e^{th}$ dU (3), which was obtained by ammonia treatment, was identical with that of side product 24 obtained from 3 by acid treatment (Scheme 4 and Figure S7 in the Supporting Information). This confirmed that hydration of the side chain of 3 ( $\rightarrow$  $\rightarrow$  $\rightarrow$  24) occurs under acidic as well as alk[aline conditions. For ide](#page-10-0)ntification of the side product of  $e<sup>eth</sup> dC$ , compound 2 was treated with concentrated aqueous ammonia at 55 °C for 20 h (Scheme 4). The product was isolated and identified as 5-acetyl-dC on the basis of its mass and the typi[ca](#page-4-0)l <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts of the acetyl side chain (see the Experimental Section and Table 3). As hydration of a triple bond is uncommon under alkaline conditions, we se[arched in the liter](#page-6-0)ature for [sim](#page-7-0)ilar observations. Indeed, the silylated arabinofuranosyl-5-ethynylcytosine nucleoside was partially converted to the acetyl compound (5%) upon treatment with potassium carbonate in methanol.<sup>18</sup>

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 4. Reversed-phase HPLC elution profiles of the crude reaction mixtures of nucleosides 1−4 treated with concentrated aqueous ammonia at elevated temperature (55 °C for 16 h), monitored at 260 nm using gradient systems III and IV: (a) <sup>eth</sup>c<sup>7</sup>G<sub>d</sub> (1); (b) <sup>eth</sup>dC (2); (c) <sup>eth</sup>dU (3); (d) <sup>eth</sup>c<sup>7</sup>A<sub>d</sub> (4).  $e^{th}c^7A_d$  (4).

Scheme 4. Base-Catalyzed Hydration Reaction on Ethynyl-Modified Nucleosides 2 and 3



Comparison of Side Products Obtained from the Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Oligonucleotides with Those Obtained by Synthesis. For the identification of side products formed during oligonucleotide synthesis, ODNs 17−20 were digested by tandem enzymatic hydrolysis using snake venom phosphodiesterase and alkaline phosphatase. The enzymatic hydrolysis mixtures of these oligonucleotides were coinjected together with the ethynylated nucleosides 1−3 and the newly synthesized acetylated compounds 21, 24, and 25 (Figure 5). Figure 5a−c clearly indicates that the coinjected nucleosides match the hydrolysis products (compare Figures 3 and 5). A minor side product whose signal appeared between the peaks for nucleosides 3 and 24 was not identified. The[se](#page-2-0) results prove that the side products formed during oligonucleotide synthesis and workup are the acetyl nucleosides 21, 24, and 25. As expected, the HPLC profile of the enzymatic hydrolysis of ODN 20 (containing nucleoside 4) showed the presence of ethynyl nucleoside 4 exclusively without any detectable amounts of side products (Figure 5d). We noted that side product formation, occurring during oligonucleotide synthesis, takes place on protected ethynyl nucleosides (except for 3). Side products are deprotected under workup conditions. While we are able to correlate side product formation to the process of deprotection under alkaline conditions, we cannot provide evidence at what stage of oligonucleotide synthesis hydration takes place.

Synthesis of Triisopropylsilyl-Protected Phophoramidites 28, 31, and 34. From the results described above, it is obvious that the ethynylated nucleosides 1−3 are not stable, during oligonucleotide synthesis, under workup conditions or both. Although solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis is performed in anhydrous acetonitrile (10 ppm water content), water is present during iodine oxidation of the phosphorus(III) to phosphorus(V) residues. We noticed that the extent of side chain modification increases with the number of coupling steps, and ethynyl group transformation is more pronounced when the modified base is incorporated near the 3′-end of the oligonucleotide (data not shown). Another source for ethynyl



Figure 5. HPLC profiles of mixtures of the enzymatic hydrolysis products of oligonucleotides, ethynyl modified nucleosides, and acetyl modified nucleosides, monitored at 260 nm using gradient system III and IV: (a) ODN 17 + nucleosides 1 and 21; (b) ODN 18 + nucleosides 2 and 25; (c) ODN 19 + nucleosides 3 and 24; (c) ODN 20 + nucleoside 4.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>Scheme 5. Synthesis of Phosphoramidite Building Blocks 28, 31, and 34<sup>a</sup>



a<br>Reagents and conditions: (i) triisopropylsilylacetylene,  $[\text{Pd}^0[\text{P}(\text{Ph}_3)_4]$ , CuI, DMF, Et3N, room temperature, 12 h; (ii) NC(CH<sub>2</sub>)2OP(Cl)N(*i-*Pr)<sub>2</sub>,  $(i-Pr)$ <sub>2</sub>NEt, anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature.



Figure 6. Reversed-phase HPLC elution profiles of purified ethynylated and silylated oligonucleotides, monitored at 260 nm using gradient system II: (a) ODN 17 and ODN 35; (b) ODN 18 and ODN 36; (c) ODN 19 and ODN 37.

group hydration is aqueous ammonia treatment. Therefore, we silylated the ethynyl group by a triisopropylsilyl (TIPS) residue. This bulky and hydrophobic protecting group is sufficiently stable during oligonucleotide synthesis and under workup conditions. A similar protection strategy was used by Gramlich et al. for the protection of the terminal triple bond of octadiynyl-dC utilized in sequential click reactions.<sup>2e</sup> Earlier experiments using the trimethylsilyl group failed, as this group got lost during oligonucleotide synthesis.<sup>10</sup> The 5- o[r 7](#page-10-0)-TIPSethynylated phosphoramidite building b[loc](#page-11-0)ks 28, 31, and 34

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

were synthesized from the 5- or 7-iodinated nucleosides  $26,^{19}$ 29, and  $32^{20}$  by the palladium-catalyzed Sonogashira crosscoupling reaction with triisopropylsilylacetylene. Triisoprop[yl](#page-11-0)silylethynyl [nu](#page-11-0)cleosides 27, 30, and 33 were obtained in 59− 79% yield. Phosphitylation under standard conditions furnished the phosphoramidites 28, 31, and 34 (61−80% yield) (Scheme 5).

Synthesis of Oligonucleotides with TIPS-Phosphoramidites [2](#page-5-0)8, 31, and 34. The phosphoramidites 28, 31, and 34 were used together with unmodified building blocks in the synthesis of TIPS ethynyl oligonucleotides by solid-phase synthesis. Oligonucleotides were characterized by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Table 1 and Figure S8 in the Supporting Information), and the masses matched the calculated values for ODNs 35−37 (Figure 6). No cleavage of the TIP[S group or](#page-10-0) [hydration of](#page-10-0) the ethynyl side chain was detected by MALDI-TOF, even after dep[ro](#page-5-0)tection of the oligonucleotides in concentrated aqueous ammonia at elevated temperature. Only the dC derivative was an exception when rigorous conditions were used. Partial cleavage (∼20%) of the TIPS group was observed during deprotection with concentrated aqueous ammonia at elevated temperature. This problem could be overcome by application of mild deprotection conditions and the use of 4-tert-butylphenoxyacetyl-protected canonical phosphoramidites together with 4-tert-butylphenoxyacetic anhydride as capping reagent (see the Experimental Section). Subsequently, deprotection of the oligonucleotides was performed with concentrated aqueous ammonia at room temperature, thus preventing cleavage of the TIPS group.

Finally, the TIPS groups of the oligonucleotides 35−37 were removed with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in  $CH_3CN/DMF$  (4/1) at 45 °C for 16 h (Scheme 6). After completion of the reaction, the oligonucleotides were precipitated by adding sodium acetate buffer and isopropyl alcohol (for details see the Experimental Section). The deprotected oligonucleotides were purified by reversed-phase HPLC. Figure 6 shows the HPLC profiles of TIPS-protected oligonucleotides and oligonucleotides with deprotected intact ethynyl groups.

The TIPS e[th](#page-5-0)ynyl oligonucleotides (35−37) can be easily distinguished from the ethynylated oligonucleotides (17−19) due to their different HPLC mobilities (Figure 6). The purity of ethynyl-modified oligonucleotides (prepared after deprotection of the TIPS group) was confirmed [b](#page-5-0)y enzymatic hydrolysis and MALDI-TOF spectra (Figures S9 and S10 in the Supporting Information). This method was also applied for the synthesis of ODN 38 containing two consecutive 7-TIPS [ethynyl-7-deazaguanine](#page-10-0) residues, which after deprotection gave the pure ethynylated ODN 39 (Table 1).

As discussed above, protection of ethynyl groups with TIPS residues prevents hydration of the side chain and results in the formation of noncontaminated oligonucleotides.

### **CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK**

Oligonucleotides with ethynyl groups in the 5-position of dC or dU or 7-position of 7-deaza-dG are in part hydrated during their synthesis and workup. The major side products were identified as acetyl derivatives formed by Markovnikov hydration of ethynylated nucleobase side chains. To confirm the structures of the hydration products, the corresponding acetylated nucleosides were prepared from ethynylated nucleosides by acid or base treatment. The efficacy of hydration depended strongly on the structure of the nucleobase moieties. Only the 7-ethynyl-7-deazaadenine base was stable under the conditions of oligonucleotide synthesis and deprotection, while 7-ethynyl-7-deazaguanine was extremely labile. These side reactions were circumvented by triisopropylsilyl protection of the ethynyl groups. Pure oligonucleotides were isolated after removal of the TIPS residues with tetrabutylammonium fluoride. By use of TIPS-protected phosphoramidites, clean ethynylated oligonucleotides are now accessible which are ready to be used in the postsynthetic modification by the Huisgen− Meldal−Sharpless "click" chemistry.

### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Methods and Materials. All chemicals and solvents were of laboratory grade as obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on TLC aluminum sheets covered with silica gel 60 F254 (0.2 mm). Flash column chromatography (FC): silica gel 60 (40−60 μM, for flash chromatography) at 0.4 bar. Molecular masses of oligonucleotides were determined by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in the linear positive mode with 3-hydroxypicolinic acid (3-HPA) as a matrix (Table 1). UV spectra were recorded on a

Table 1. Molecular Masses of Oligonucleotides Measured by MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry<sup>a</sup>

	mol wt	
oligonucleotide	calcd	found
$5'$ -d(AGT ATT 1AC CTA) $(17)$	3667.4	3668.5, 3686.4
$5'$ -d(TAG GT2 AAT ACT) $(18)$	3668.5	3668.3, 3686.4
$5'$ -d(AGT AT3 GAC CTA) $(19)$	3654.4	3653.5, 3671.6
$5'$ -d(TAG GTC 4AT ACT) $(20)$	3667.4	3667.4
$5'$ -d(AGT ATT $1^{TIPSE}$ AC CTA) (35)	3823.8	3824.7
$5'$ -d(TAG GT2 <sup>TIPSE</sup> AAT ACT) (36)	3824.8	3824.2
$5'$ -d(AGT AT3 <sup>TIPSE</sup> GAC CTA) (37)	3810.7	3810.0
$5'$ -d(AGT ATT 1AC CTA) $(17)$ after deprotection	3667.4	3667.1
5'-d(TAG GT2 AAT ACT) (18) after deprotection	3668.5	3668.1
$5'$ -d(AGT AT3 GAC CTA) $(19)$ after deprotection	3654.4	3654.0
$5'$ -d(TA1 <sup>TIPSE</sup> 1 <sup>TIPSE</sup> TC AAT ACT) (38)	4003.1	4002.5
5'-d(TA1 1TC AAT ACT) (39) after deprotection	3690.5	3689.5

<sup>a</sup>Measured in the positive linear mode. TIPSE = triisopropylsilylethynyl.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>spectrophotometer:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon)$  in nm,  $\varepsilon$  in dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). NMR spectra were measured at 300.15 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 75.48 MHz for

### Table 2. UV Maxima and Extinction Coefficients of Ethynyl and Acetyl Nucleosides<sup>a,b</sup>



 ${}^a$ Data were determined in water.  ${}^b$ For the corresponding UV spectra see Figure S6 in the Supporting Information.

 $13C$  and 121.52 MHz for  $31P$ . The  $13C$  NMR signals were assigned on the basis of DEPT-135 and  $\rm ^1H-^{13}C$  [gated-d](#page-10-0)ecoupled NMR spectra (Table 3; for coupling constants see Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information). The *J* values are given in Hz;  $\delta$  values are given in ppm relative to Me4Si as internal standard. For NMR spectra recorded in DMSO- $d_{6}$ , the chemical shift of the solvent peak was set to [2.50 ppm for](#page-10-0)  $\mathrm{^{1}H}$  NMR and 39.50 ppm for  $\mathrm{^{13}C}$  NMR. Reversed-phase HPLC was carried out on a  $4 \times 250$  mm RP-18 (10  $\mu$ m) LiChrospher 100 column with a HPLC pump connected with a variable-wavelength monitor, a controller, and an integrator. ESI-TOF mass spectra of nucleosides were recorded on a Micro-TOF spectrometer.

Synthesis, Purification, and Characterization of Oligonucleotides. The oligonucleotides were synthesized on an automated DNA synthesizer on a 1  $\mu$ mol scale employing standard phosphoramidites as well as the phosphoramidites 9, 10, 13, 14, 28, 31, and 34. After cleavage from the solid support, the oligonucleotides were deprotected in concentrated aqueous ammonia solution for 16 h at 55 °C. ODN 36 was prepared by solid-phase synthesis using 4-tertbutylphenoxyacetyl-protected canonical phosphoramidites as well as phosphoramidite 31. In addition, the capping reagent 4-tertbutylphenoxyacetic anhydride instead of acetic anhydride was used. After cleavage from the solid support, ODN 36 was deprotected in concentrated aqueous ammonia solution for 16 h at room temperature. The purification of the "trityl-on" oligonucleotides was carried out on reversed-phase HPLC using the following gradient system at 260 nm: (A) MeCN; (B) 0.1 M (Et<sub>3</sub>NH)OAc (pH 7.0)/MeCN, 95/5; gradient I, 0−3 min 10−15% A in B, 3−15 min 15−50% A in B, flow rate 0.8 mL/min. The purified "trityl-on" oligonucleotides were treated with 2.5% CHCl<sub>2</sub>COOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> for 2 min at 0  $^{\circ}$ C to remove the 4,4′-dimethoxytrityl residues. The detritylated oligomers were purified again by reversed-phase HPLC with gradient II: 0−20 min 0−20% A in B, 20−25 min, 20% A in B, flow rate 0.8 mL/min (ODNs 17−20, 39) or 0−20 min 0−25% A in B, 20−25 min, 25% A in B, flow rate 0.8 mL/min (ODNs 35−37). The oligonucleotides were desalted on a short column (RP-18) using water for elution of salt, while the oligonucleotides were eluted with  $H<sub>2</sub>O/MeOH$  (2/3). The oligonucleotides were lyophilized on a Speed-Vac evaporator to yield colorless solids which were frozen at −24 °C. Extinction coefficients  $\varepsilon_{260}$  of the unmodified nucleosides (H<sub>2</sub>O, in M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): dA, 15400; dG, 11700; dT, 8800; dC, 7300.

Tandem Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Oligonucleotides. The enzymatic hydrolysis of oligonucleotides 17−20 was performed using snake-venom phosphodiesterase (EC 3.1.15.1, Crotallus adamanteus) and alkaline phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1, Escherichia coli) in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.5) at 37 °C. The resulting mixtures were analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC (RP-18). The enzymatic digestion products were analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC using the following gradients: gradient III (ODNs 17 and 20), 25 min 100% B, 25−60 min 0−40% A in B; gradient IV (ODNs 18 and 19): 25 min 100% B; flow rate 0.7 mL/min ((A) MeCN, (B) 0.1 M (Et<sub>3</sub>NH)OAc (pH 7.0)/MeCN, 95/ 5).

Conversion of Ethynyl Nucleosides to Acetyl Nucleosides. Ethynyl-modified nucleosides (1−4, 5 mg each) were dissolved in 28% aqueous ammonia (1 mL), and the reaction mixture was heated at 55 °C in a closed vessel for 16 h. The solvent was removed, and the residue was dissolved in water (200  $\mu$ M). The formation of the new product was monitored by TLC  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH, 90:10)$  and HPLC. For HPLC elution profiles see Figure 4.

2-Amino-7-(2-deoxy-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-3,7-dihydro-5-(trimethylsilylethynyl)-4H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4 **one (6).** To a suspension of  $5^{11}$  (2.0 g[, 5](#page-4-0).10 mmol) and CuI (0.194 g, 1.02 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (20 mL) was added successively  $[Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]$  (0.589 g, 0.51 [mm](#page-11-0)ol), anhydrous Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.239 g, 12.2) mmol), and trimethylsilylacetylene (5.01 g, 51.0 mmol). The reaction

### Table 3.  ${}^{13}C$  NMR Chemical Shifts of 7-Deazapurine and Pyrimidine Derivatives<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Measured in DMSO- $d_6$  at 298 K. <sup>b</sup>Purine numbering for 7-deazapurine derivatives. Cystematic numbering for 7-deazapurine derivatives.<br><sup>d</sup>Systematic numbering for pyridmidine derivatives <sup>e</sup>Tentative <sup>f</sup>Superimposed b Systematic numbering for pyridmidine derivatives. <sup>e</sup>Tentative. <sup>f</sup>Superimposed by DMSO. <sup>g</sup>Ref 21.

mixture was stirred under an inert atmosphere and the reaction allowed to proceed until the starting material was consumed (TLC monitoring). The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was adsorbed on silica gel and subjected to FC (silica gel, column  $15 \times 4$ cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ , 90/10) to give the product 6 (1.42 g, 77%) as a yellowish foam. TLC  $(\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2/MeOH}, 90/10): R_{\mathrm{f}}$  0.40. UV:  $\lambda_{\mathrm{max}}$  $(MeOH)/nm$  243 ( $\varepsilon/dm^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> 24800), 276 (11500), 294 (11200). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 0.18 (s, 9H, 3  $\times$ CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.03–2.10 (m, 1H, H<sub>α</sub>-2'), 2.27–2.29 (m, 1H, H<sub>β</sub>-2'), 3.44– 3.53 (m, 2H, 2 × H-5′), 3.74−3.75 (m, 1H, H-4′), 4.26−4.27 (m, 1H, H-3'), 4.92 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H, HO-5'), 5.21 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, HO- $3'$ ), 6.26 (dd, J = 6.0, 5.7 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.36 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.31 (s, 1H, H-8), 10.45 (s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{22}N_4O_4Si$  (362.46): C, 53.02; H, 6.12; N, 15.46. Found: C, 53.02; H, 6.00; N, 15.30.

2-Amino-7-(2-deoxy-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-5-ethynyl-3,7-dihydro-4H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-one (1). To a solution of 6 (1.2 g, 3.31 mmol) in MeOH (50 mL) was added  $K_2CO_3$  (1.464) g, 10.6 mmol). After it was stirred for 12 h at room temperature, the suspension was filtered, adsorbed on silica gel, and applied to FC (silica gel, column 15  $\times$  3 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 90/10) to give the product 1 (0.740 g, 77%) as a reddish solid. TLC  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH,$ 90/10):  $R_f$  0.35. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (H<sub>2</sub>O)/nm 260 ( $\varepsilon$ /dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> 7400), 270 (9000). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 300 MHz; δ, ppm): 2.04–2.11 (m, 1H,  $H_{\alpha}$ -2′), 2.27–2.36 (m, 1H,  $H_{\beta}$ -2′), 3.45–3.54 (m, 2H, 2 × H-5′), 3.74−3.77 (m, 1H, H-4'), 3.88 (s, 1H, C≡CH), 4.27−4.28 (m, 1H, H-3'), 4.93 (t,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 1H, HO-5'), 5.22 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H, HO- $3'$ ), 6.27 (dd, J = 6.0, 5.7 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.35 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.30 (s, 1H, H-8), 10.50 (s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{13}H_{14}N_4O_4$  (290.27): C, 53.79; H, 4.86; N, 19.30. Found: C, 53.65; H, 5.00; N, 19.21. The obtained NMR data correspond to literature values reported earlier.<sup>54</sup>

7-(2-Deoxy-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-5-ethynyl-3,7-dihydro-2-(isobutyrylamino)-4H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-one ([7\).](#page-10-0) Compound 1 (0.500 g, 1.72 mmol) was dried by repeated coevaporation with anhydrous pyridine  $(3 \times 8 \text{ mL})$  and dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (12 mL). Then, trimethylsilyl chloride (0.936 g, 8.61 mmol) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature, and then isobutyric anhydride (1.362 g, 8.61 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for an additional 3 h at room temperature. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled in an ice bath,  $H_2O$  (1.5 mL) and subsequently (5 min later)  $28-30%$  aqueous NH<sub>3</sub> solution (1 mL) were added, and stirring was continued for 30 min at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated to near dryness and coevaporated with toluene  $(3 \times 10)$ mL), and the residue was purified by FC (silica gel, column  $15 \times 4$  cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ , 90/10) to give 7 (0.467 g, 75%) as a colorless solid. TLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 90:10): R<sub>f</sub> 0.60. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH)/nm 235  $(\varepsilon/\text{dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  17600), 280 (14700). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 1.10, 1.12 (2s, 6H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.11–2.18 (m, 1H, H<sub> $\sigma$ </sub>-2′), 2.33–2.42 (m, 1H,  $H_{\beta}$ -2′), 2.69–2.78 (m, 1H, CH), 3.49–3.54  $(m, 2H, 2 × H-5'), 3.78-3.81 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.00 (s, 1H, C≡CH),$ 4.31−3.32 (m, 1H, H-3′), 4.96 (t, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H, HO-5′), 5.26 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, HO-3′), 6.37 (dd, J = 6.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 7.62 (s, 1H, H-8), 11.57 (s, 1H, NH), 11.82 (s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{20}N_4O_5$  (360.36): C, 56.66; H, 5.59; N, 15.55. Found: C, 56.42; H, 5.72; N, 15.49.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-5-ethynyl-3,7-dihydro-2-(isobutyrylamino)-4H**pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-one (8).** Compound  $7$  (0.390 g, 1.08) mmol) was dried by repeated coevaporation with anhydrous pyridine  $(3 \times 8 \text{ mL})$ . The residue was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine  $(12 \text{ mL})$ and stirred with 4,4′-dimethoxytrityl chloride (0.706 g, 1.84 mmol) at room temperature for 5 h. The solution was poured into 5% aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3  $\times$  50 mL). The combined extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was purified by FC (silica gel, column 15 × 3 cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/$ acetone,  $80/20$ ) to give the product 8 (0.41 g, 57%) as a colorless foam. TLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone, 80/20): R<sub>f</sub> 0.44. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  $(MeOH)/nm$  234  $(\varepsilon/dm^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}, \text{ cm}^{-1}$  41300), 281 (19600). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 1.10, 1.12 (2s, 6H, 2  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.19−2.26 (m, 1H,  $H_{\alpha}$ -2'), 2.46−2.55 (m, 1H,  $H_{\beta}$ -C2'), 2.71−2.80

 $(m, 1H, CH)$ , 3.07–3.19  $(m, 2H, 2 \times H.5')$ , 3.72  $(s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3)$ , 3.90−3.91 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.02 (s, 1H, C≡CH), 4.33−4.34 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.32 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H, HO-3'), 6.38 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.82−6.86 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.17−7.37 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 7.48 (s, 1H, H-8), 11.61 (s, 1H, NH), 11.86 (s, 1H, NH). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{38}H_{38}N_4O_7$  (662.73): C, 68.87; H, 5.78; N, 8.45. Found: C, 68.93; H, 5.87; N, 8.32.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-5-ethynyl-3,7-dihydro-2-(isobutyrylamino)-4Hpyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-one 3′-(2-Cyanoethyl)-N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite (9). To a solution of 8 (0.1 g, 0.15 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) were added  $(i-Pr)_{2}NEt$  (0.049 g, 0.38 mmol) and 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (0.064 g, 0.27 mmol). After it was stirred for 45 min at room temperature, the solution was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and extracted with 5% aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (20 mL); the combined organic layers were dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and evaporated. The residue was purified by FC (silica gel, column 10  $\times$  2 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone, 95/5), affording product 9 (0.098 g, 75%) as a colorless foam. TLC  $\rm (CH_2Cl_2/acetone,$ 85:15):  $R_f$  0.75. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 121 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 148.1, 147.4. ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{47}H_{55}N_6O_8P [M + Na]^+$  885.3711, found 885.3688.

1-(2-Deoxy-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-5-ethynylcytosine (2). To a solution of 5-trimethylsilylethynyl-2'-deoxycytidine<sup>9c</sup> (4.0 g, 12.4 mmol) in MeOH (50 mL) was added  $K_2CO_3$  (0.060 g, 0.43 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperatu[re](#page-10-0) for 1 h (TLC monitoring). Then, the solvent was evaporated and the remaining residue was purified by FC (silica gel, column 20  $\times$  4 cm,  $\mathrm{CH_{2}Cl_{2}/MeOH}$  80/20). Evaporation of the solvent gave nucleoside 2  $(2.65 \text{ g}, 85\%)$  as an amorphous solid. TLC  $(CH, Cl, /MeOH, 80:20)$ :  $R_f$  0.40. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (H<sub>2</sub>O)/nm 260 ( $\varepsilon$ / dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> 3600), 292 (8100). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 300 MHz; δ, ppm): 1.94−2.03 (m, 1H, H<sub>a</sub>-2′), 2.12–2.19 (m, 1H, H<sub>β</sub>-2′), 3.53–3.65 (m, 2H, H-5′), 3.78– 3.81 (m, 1H, H-4′), 4.19–4.22 (m, 1H, H-3′), 4.34 (s, 1H, C $\equiv$ CH), 5.10 (t,  $J = 5.1$  Hz,  $1H$ ,  $5′$  -OH),  $5.22$  (d,  $J = 4.2$  Hz,  $1H$ ,  $3′$  -OH), 6.09  $(t, J = 6.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H-1}'), 6.83 \text{ (s, 1H, NH}_a), 7.71 \text{ (s, 1H, NH}_b), 8.26$ (s, 1H, H-6). The obtained NMR data correspond to literature values reported earlier.<sup>9c,12</sup>

1-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-5-eth[yn](#page-10-0)[ylc](#page-11-0)ytosine (11). Compound 2  $(2.5 \text{ g}, 9.95 \text{ mmol})$ was dried by repeated coevaporation with anhydrous pyridine  $(2 \times 10)$ mL) before it was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (20 mL). Then 4,4′-dimethoxytrityl chloride (4.4 g, 12.99 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) and extracted with 5% aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  solution (100 mL), and the organic layer was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and then concentrated. Purification by FC (silica gel, column  $15 \times 3$  cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/$  acetone,  $90/10$ ) gave 11 as a colorless foam (4.36 g, 79%). TLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 90:10):  $R_f$  0.5. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH)/ nm 235 ( $\varepsilon$ / dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> 34600), 283 (8000). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO $d_6$ , 300 MHz): 2.08−2.15 (m, 1H, H<sub>a</sub>-2'), 2.21−2.24 (m, 1H, H<sub>β</sub>-2'), 3.12−3.25 (m, 2H, H-5′), 3.74 (s, 6H, 2 × OCH3), 3.93−3.94 (m, 1H, H-4′), 4.20–4.22 (m, 2H, H-3′, C $\equiv$ CH), 5.30 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, 3′– OH), 6.11 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.87–6.90 (m, 5H, 4  $\times$  Ar-H, 1  $\times$ NH<sub>a</sub>), 7.19−7.41 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 7.77 (s, 1H, NH<sub>b</sub>), 7.95 (s, 1H, H-6). ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{32}H_{31}N_3O_6 [M + Na]^+$  576.2105, found 576.2108.

N<sup>4</sup> -Acetyl-1-[2-deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythropentofuranosyl]-5-ethynylcytosine (12). To a solution of compound 11 (4.3 g, 7.77 mmol) in N,N-dimethylformamide (20 mL) was added acetic anhydride (900  $\mu$ L, 9.41 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Then, another portion of acetic anhydride (200  $\mu$ L, 2.09 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 12 h (TLC monitoring). After evaporation of DMF under reduced pressure, the residue was applied to FC (silica gel, column  $15 \times 4$  cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/$ acetone, 80/20). After evaporation of the solvent from the main zone, compound 12 was isolated as a colorless foam  $(3.38 \text{ g}, 73\%)$ . TLC  $(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{acetone},$ 80/20):  $R_f$  0.53. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH)/nm 235 ( $\varepsilon$ / dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> 38500), 282 (6700). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 300 MHz): 2.15−2.24 (m,

1H,  $H_{\alpha}$ -2′), 2.30 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.37–2.41 (m, 1H,  $H_{\beta}$ -2′), 3.17–3.27  $(m, 2H, 2 \times H.5)$ , 3.73 (s, 6H, 2  $\times$  OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.00 (bs, 1H, H-4'), 4.23  $(bs, 1H, H-4'), 4.36$  (s,  $1H, C\equiv CH), 5.35$  (d,  $J = 4.2$  Hz,  $1H, 3'-OH),$ 6.05 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 6.87–6.90 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.19–7.39 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 8.26 (s, 1H, H-6), 9.36 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-TOF: m/z calcd for  $C_{34}H_{33}N_3O_7$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 618.2211, found 618.2196.

N<sup>4</sup> -Acetyl-1-[2-deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythropentofuranosyl]-5-ethynylcytosine 3′-(2-Cyanoethyl)-N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite (13). A stirred solution of 12 (1.0  $g$ , 1.67 mmol) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (15 mL) was treated with (*i*- $Pr$ <sub>2</sub>NEt (400  $\mu$ L, 2.4 mmol) and 2-cyanoethyl-N,N-diisopropylphosphoramido chloridite (520  $\mu$ L, 2.4 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min (TLC monitoring) at room temperature, and then the solution was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and extracted with 5% aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , concentrated, and purified by FC (silica gel, column 10  $\times$  4 cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/a$ cetone, 80/20). Evaporation of the solvent from the main zone gave 13 (0.850 g, 63%) as a colorless foam. TLC  $(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/$ acetone, 80/20):  $R_f$  0.7. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 121 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 149.2, 148.6. ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{43}H_{50}N_5O_8P [M + Na]^+$  818.3289, found 818.3281.

5-Acetyl-2-amino-7-(2-deoxy-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)- 3,7-dihydro-4H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-one (21). To a solution of compound 1 (0.073 g, 0.25 mmol) in MeOH (9 mL) were added water  $(1 \text{ mL})$  and  $H_2SO_4$  (0.025 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 75 °C. The reaction mixture was brought to pH 7 by the use of a strongly basic ion-exchange resin. The resin was filtered off and washed with MeOH (20 mL). The filtrate was evaporated, and the remaining residue was purified by FC (silica gel, column  $10 \times 2$ cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ ,  $80/20$ ) to give the product 21 (0.054 g, 70%) as a colorless powder. TLC  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH, 75/25)$ :  $R_f$  0.26.  $\lambda_{max}$  $(H<sub>2</sub>O)/nm$  260 ( $\varepsilon/dm^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup>, cm<sup>-1</sup> 6600), 307 (5800). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz; δ, ppm): 2.12−2.15 (m, 1H, H<sub>α</sub>-2′), 2.29−2.38 (m, 1H, H<sub>β</sub>-2′), 2.62 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.47–3.53 (m, 2H, 2 × H-5′), 3.76−3.80 (m, 1H, H-4′), 4.29−4.30 (m, 1H, H-3′), 4.94 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H, HO-5'), 5.23 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, HO-3'), 6.32 (dd, J = 6.0, 5.7 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 6.43 (s, 2H, NH2), 7.63 (s, 1H, H-8), 10.61 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{16}N_4O_5$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 331.1013, found 331.1008.

5-Ethynylcytosine (22) and 5-Acetylcytosine (23). The procedure was as described for 21, using compound  $2^{12}$  (0.063 g, 0.25 mmol) in MeOH (9 mL) and water  $(1 \text{ mL})$  with  $H_2SO_4$   $(0.25)$ mmol). FC (silica gel, column 10  $\times$  2 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/M[eO](#page-11-0)H 85/15) gave an inseparable mixture of products 22 and 23  $(1/1)$   $(0.030$  g, 84%) as a colorless powder. TLC  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH, 85/15)$ :  $R_f$  0.34. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 2.35 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.28 (s, 1H, C $\equiv$ CH), 6.69, 7.85, 8.39 (3br s, 4H, 2  $\times$  NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.74, 8.45 (2s, 2H,  $2 \times$  H-6), 11.33 (br s, 2H,  $2 \times$  NH). ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for 22  $C_6H_5N_3O [M + Na]^+$  158.0325, found 158.0331;  $m/z$  calcd for 23  $C_6H_7N_3O_2$   $[M + Na]^+$  176.0430, found 176.0438. The obtained NMR data correspond to literature values reported earlier.<sup>16,17</sup>

5-Acetyl-1-(2-deoxy-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)uracil (24). The procedure was as described for 21, using [com](#page-11-0)pound  $3^{16a}$  $(0.063 \text{ g}, 0.25 \text{ mmol})$  in MeOH  $(9 \text{ mL})$  and water  $(1 \text{ mL})$  with  $H_2SO_4$ (0.25 mmol) and FC (silica gel, column  $10 \times 2$  cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ , 85/15) to give the product 24 (0.054 g, 80%) as a colorless powder. TLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 85/15): R<sub>f</sub> 0.36. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (H<sub>2</sub>O)/nm 260 ( $\varepsilon$ / dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> 4900), 282 (12700). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz; δ, ppm): 2.11−2.22 (m, 2H, H-2′), 2.43 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.52−3.58 (m, 2H, H-5′), 3.83−3.87 (m, 1H, H-4′), 4.21−4.23 (m, 1H, H-3′), 5.04  $(t, J = 4.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 5′-OH), 5.27 (d, J = 4.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 3′-OH), 6.11 (t, J)$ = 6.3 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 8.64 (s, 1H, H6), 11.62 (s, 1H, NH). The obtained NMR data correspond to literature values reported earlier. $^{13,14}$ 

5-Acetyl-1-(2-deoxy-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)cytosine (25). [Com](#page-11-0)pound  $2^{12}$  (0.150 g, 0.60 mmol) was dissolved in 28% aqueous NH<sub>3</sub> (200 mL) and stirred at 55  $\degree$ C for 20 h in an autoclave. The resulting soluti[on](#page-11-0) was concentrated, and the residue was applied to FC (silica gel, column  $15 \times 3$  cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ ,  $90/10$ ) to give 25 as a colorless solid (0.03 mg, 19%). TLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 90/10):

 $R_f$  0.40. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (H<sub>2</sub>O)/nm 260 ( $\varepsilon$ / dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> 4600), 285 (8900). <sup>1</sup> H NMR (DMSO-d6, 300 MHz; δ, ppm): 2.08−2.16 (m, 1H,  $H_{\alpha}$ -2′), 2.24–2.31 (m, 1H,  $H_{\beta}$ -2′), 2.36 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.59–3.73 (m, 2H, H-5′), 3.86−3.87 (m, 1H, H-4′), 4.24−4.26 (m, 1H, H-3′), 5.24− 5.28 (m, 2H, 5'-OH and 3'-OH), 6.10 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 7.94 (s, 1H, NH<sub>a</sub>), 8.33 (s, 1H, NH<sub>b</sub>), 9.06 (s, 1H, H-6). ESI-TOF:  $m/z$ calcd for  $C_{11}H_{15}N_3O_5 [M + Na]^+$  292.0904, found 292.0903.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-3,7-dihydro-2-(isobutyrylamino)-5-(triisopropylsilylethynyl)-4H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-one (27). To a suspension of 26 (0.400 g, 0.52 mmol) and CuI (0.020 g, 0.10 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (5 mL) were added successively  $[{\rm Pd}({\rm PPh}_3)_4]$  (0.090 g, 0.08 mmol), anhydrous  $Et_3N$  (0.131 g, 1.30 mmol), and triisopropylsilylacetylene (0.382 g, 2.10 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred under an inert atmosphere overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by FC (silica gel, column 15  $\times$  4 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone, 90/10) to give the product 27 (0.340 g, 79%) as a light yellow foam. TLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone, 90/10):  $R_f$  0.31. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH)/nm 283 ( $\varepsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> 22400). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 1.09–1.11 (m, 27H, 8 × CH<sub>3</sub>, 3 × CH), 2.18−2.21 (m, 1H, H<sub>α</sub>-2'), 2.53−2.55 (m, 1H, H<sub>β</sub>-C2'), 2.72− 2.76 (m, 1H, CH), 3.05−3.19 (m, 2H, 2 × H-5′), 3.69, 3.70 (2s, 6H, 2  $\times$  OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.91 (bs, 1H, H-4'), 4.32 (bs, 1H, H-3'), 5.30 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H, HO-3′), 6.37 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 6.80–6.84 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.13−7.37 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 7.45 (s, 1H, H-8), 11.56 (s, 1H, NH), 11.79 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{47}H_{58}N_4O_7Si$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 841.3967, found 841.3956.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-3,7-dihydro-2-(isobutyrylamino)-5-(triisopropylsilylethynyl)-4H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-one 3′-(2-Cyanoethyl)- N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite (28). To a solution of 27 (0.2 g, 0.24 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) were added  $(i\text{-}Pr)_2NEt$  (0.075 g, 0.58 mmol) and 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (0.099 g, 0.42 mmol). After it was stirred for 45 min at room temperature, the solution was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and extracted with 5% aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (20 mL); the combined organic layers were dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and evaporated. The residue was purified by FC (silica gel, column 10  $\times$  2 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone, 95/5) to give the product  ${\bf 28}$   $(0.152$  g,  $61\%)$  as a colorless foam. TLC  $(CH_2Cl_2/\text{acetone}, 95/5)$ : R<sub>f</sub> 0.50. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 121 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 148.0, 147.4. ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{56}H_{75}N_6O_8PSi$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 1041.5045, found 1041.5032.

N<sup>4</sup> -Acetyl-1-[2-deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythropentofuranosyl]-5-iodocytosine (29). To a solution of 1-[2-deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-5-iodocyto $sine^{22}$  (2.93 g, 4.46 mmol) in N,N-dimethylformamide (20 mL) was added acetic anhydride (1.05 mL, 11.15 mmol), and the reaction mix[tur](#page-11-0)e was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was applied to FC (silica gel, column 15  $\times$  4 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone, 80/20). After evaporation of the solvent from the main zone, compound 29 was isolated as a colorless foam  $(2.69 \text{ g}, 86\%)$ . TLC  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 90/$ 10):  $R_f$  0.63. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH)/nm 321.0 ( $\varepsilon$ / dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> 11200). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz): 2.14–2.23 (m, 1H, H<sub>a</sub>-2′), 2.23 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.33–2.37 (m, 1H, H<sub>β</sub>-2′), 3.17–3.26 (m, 2H, H-5′), 3.74 (s, 6H, 2 × OCH3), 3.98−3.99 (m, 1H, H-4′), 4.19−4.20  $(m, 1H, H-3')$ , 5.33 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H, 3′–OH), 6.06 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 6.89−6.91 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.20−7.41 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 8.28 (s, 1H, H-C6), 9.43 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{32}H_{32}IN_{3}O_{7}$  [M + Na<sup>+</sup>] 720.1177, found 720.1156.

N<sup>4</sup> -Acetyl-1-[2-deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythropentofuranosyl]-5-(triisopropylsilylethynyl)cytosine (30). To a solution of 29 (0.558 g, 0.80 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (10 mL) were added CuI (0.031 g, 0.16 mmol),  $[Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]$  (0.139 g, 0.12 mmol), anhydrous  $Et_3N$  (0.3 mL, 2.16 mmol), and triisopropylsilylacetylene (448  $\mu$ L, 2.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under  $N_2$  overnight. The solvent was removed, and the remaining residue was purified by FC (silica gel, column 20  $\times$  4 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ acetone, 90/10). Evaporation of the solvent from the main zone gave 30 (0.354 g, 59%) as a slightly yellow amorphous solid. TLC <span id="page-10-0"></span>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone, 80/20): R<sub>f</sub> 0.30. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH)/nm 318 ( $\varepsilon$ / dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> 13500). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz): 0.95–0.96 (m, 21H, 6  $\times$  CH<sub>3</sub>, 3  $\times$  CH), 2.11–2.17 (m, 1H, H<sub> $\sigma$ </sub>-2'), 2.33–2.39 (m, 4H, CH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>β</sub>-2′), 3.07–3.25 (m, 2H, H-5′), 3.71 (s, 6H, 2  $\times$ OCH3), 4.00−4.01 (m, 1H, H-4′), 4.12−4.13 (m, 1H, H-3′), 5.31 (d, J  $= 4.5$  Hz, 1H, 3′-OH), 6.03 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 6.84–6.87 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.16−7.39 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 8.20 (s, 1H, H-6), 9.08 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{43}H_{53}N_3O_7Si$   $[M + Na<sup>+</sup>]$  774.3545, found 774.3526.

N<sup>4</sup> -Acetyl-1-[2-deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythropentofuranosyl]-5-(triisopropylsilylethynyl)cytosine 3′-(2-Cyanoethyl)-N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite (31). A stirred solution of 30 (0.371 g, 0.49 mmol) in anhydrous  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (10 mL) was treated with  $(i-Pr)_{2}EtN$  (145  $\mu$ L, 0.85 mmol) and 2-cyanoethyl-N,Ndiisopropylphosphoramido chloridite (145  $\mu$ L, 0.65 mmol). Stirring was continued for 15 min (TLC monitoring) at room temperature. Then the solution was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and extracted with 5% aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  solution (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. FC (silica gel, column 10  $\times$  4 cm,  $CH_2Cl_2/a$ cetone,  $90/10$ ) and evaporation of the solvent from the main zone gave 31 (0.374 g, 80%) as a colorless foam. TLC  $(CH_2Cl_2/$ acetone, 90/10):  $R_f$  0.63. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 121 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 149.2, 148.5. ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{52}H_{70}N_5O_8PSi$   $[M + Na^+]$ 974.4623, found 974.4602.

1-(2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-5-(triisopropylsilylethynyl)uracil (33). To a solution of 32 (0.5 g, 0.76 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (10 mL) were added CuI  $(0.029 \text{ g}, 0.015 \text{ mmol}), [Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]$   $(0.088 \text{ g}, 0.076 \text{ mmol}),$ anhydrous Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.193 g, 1.90 mmol), and triisopropylsilylacetylene (0.486 g, 2.67 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under  $N_2$  overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by FC (silica gel, column 20  $\times$  4 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone, 90/ 10). Evaporation of the solvent from the main zone gave 33 (0.380 g, 70%) as a white foam. TLC  $(CH_2Cl_2/acetone, 85/15)$ :  $R_f$  0.35. UV:  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH)/nm 235 ( $\varepsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> 27110), 277 (9316), 287 (11000), 295 (11280). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz): 0.69-1.28  $(m, 21H, 8 \times CH_3, 3 \times CH)$ , 2.16–2.21  $(m, 2H, H-2')$ , 3.11–3.18  $(m,$ 2H, H-5′), 3.71 (s, 6H, 2 × OCH3), 3.89 (bs, 1H, H-4′), 4.14 (bs, 1H, H-3′), 5.29 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, 3′–OH), 6.07 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 6.84−6.87 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.18−7.39 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 7.83 (s, 1H, H-6), 11.66 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{41}H_{50}N_2O_7Si$  [M + Na]+ 733.3279, found 733.3277.

1-(2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-β-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-5-(triisopropylsilylethynyl)uracil 3′-(2-Cyanoethyl)- N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite (34). A stirred solution of 33  $(0.150 \text{ g}, 0.21 \text{ mmol})$  in anhydrous  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$   $(10 \text{ mL})$  was treated with  $(i-Pr)_2$ EtN (0.045 g, 0.35 mmol) and 2-cyanoethyl-N,N-diisopropylphosphoramido chloridite (0.064 g, 0.27 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min (TLC monitoring) at room temperature, and then the solution was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) and extracted with 5% aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (30 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. FC (silica gel, column 10  $\times$  4 cm,  $CH_2Cl_2$ /acetone, 90/10) and evaporation of the solvent from the main zone gave 34 (0.131 g, 68%) as a colorless foam. TLC  $(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/$ acetone, 90/10):  $R_f$  0.66. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 121 MHz;  $\delta$ , ppm): 149.2, 148.6. ESI-TOF:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{50}H_{67}N_4O_8PSi$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 933.4358, found 933.4337.

Genral Procedure for the Deprotection of the TIPS Group. Triisoproylsilylethynyl-modified oligonucleotides  $(10 A<sub>260</sub> unit)$  were dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/DMF (4/1, 150  $\mu$ L) and tetrabutylammonium fluoride (10  $\mu$ L). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 45 °C for 16 h. Deprotected oligonucleotides were precipitated by adding 3 M NaOAc buffer, pH 5.2 (20  $\mu$ L), and 2-propanol (600  $\mu$ L) and incubating at 0 °C for 24 h. After centrifugation at 14000 rpm for 30 min, the solvent was decanted and the remaining residue was washed with 75% ethanol (150  $\mu$ L). The resulting oligonucleotide was dried and purified by reversed-phase HPLC using gradient II.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **6** Supporting Information

Figures and tables giving  $^1$ H $- ^{13}$ C coupling constants, HPLC profiles, and  $^{1}$ H,  $^{13}$ C, DEPT-135, and  $^{1}$ H $^{13}$ C gated-decoupled NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### **E** AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

#### Corresponding Author

\*F.S.: tel, +49 (0) 251 53406 500; fax, +49 (0) 251 53406 857; e-mail, Frank.Seela@uni-osnabrueck.de; web, www.seela.net.

### Notes

The au[thors declare no competing](mailto:Frank.Seela@uni-osnabrueck.de) financial i[nterest.](www.seela.net)

### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. S. Budow for helpful discussions and support while preparing the paper and Prof. Dr. Helmut Quast for critical reading of the paper. We also thank Mr. S. S. Pujari for measuring the NMR spectra and Mr. Nhat Quang Tran for the oligonucleotide syntheses. We thank Dr. M. Letzel, Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Universität Münster, Münster, Germany, for the measurement of the MALDI spectra. Financial support by ChemBiotech, Mü nster, Germany, is highly appreciated.

### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Huisgen, R.; Szeimies, G.; Mö bius, L. Chem. Ber. 1967, 100, 2494−2507. (b) Tornøe, C. W.; Christensen, C.; Meldal, M. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3057−3064. (c) Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2596− 2599.

(2) (a) Amblard, F.; Cho, J. H.; Schinazi, R. F. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 4207−4220. (b) Kappe, C. O.; Van der Eycken, E. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1280−1290. (c) El-Sagheer, A. H.; Brown, T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1388−1405. (d) Ustinov, A. V.; Stepanova, I. A.; Dubnyakova, V. V.; Zatsepin, T. S.; Nozhevnikova, E. V.; Korshun, V. A. Russ. J. Bioorg. Chem. 2010, 36, 401−445. (e) Gramlich, P. M. E.; Warncke, S.; Gierlich, J.; Carell, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3442−3444. (f) Seela, F.; Ingale, S. A. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 284− 295. (g) Ingale, S. A.; Pujari, S. S.; Sirivolu, V. R.; Ding, P.; Xiong, H.; Mei, H.; Seela, F. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 188−199. (h) Mei, H.; Ingale, S. A.; Seela, F. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 4731−4742. (i) Ingale, S. A.; Seela, F. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3394−3399. (j) Yamada, T.; Peng, C. G.; Matsuda, S.; Addepalli, H.; Jayaprakash, K. N.; Alam, Md. R.; Mills, K.; Maier, M. A.; Charisse, K.; Sekine, M.; Manoharan, M.; Rajeev, K. G. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1198−1211.

(3) (a) Macíčková-Cahová, H.; Pohl, R.; Hocek, M. ChemBioChem 2011, 12, 431–438. (b) Salic, A.; Mitchison, T. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2008, 105, 2415−2420. (c) Ménová, P.; Raindlová, V.; Hocek, M. Bioconjugate Chem. 2013, 24, 1081−1093.

(4) Guan, L.; van der Heijden, G. W.; Bortvin, A.; Greenberg, M. M. ChemBioChem 2011, 12, 2184−2190.

(5) (a) Neef, A. B.; Samain, F.; Luedtke, N. W. ChemBioChem. 2012, 13, 1750−1753. (b) Qu, D.; Wang, G.; Wang, Z.; Zhou, L.; Chi, W.; Cong, S.; Ren, X.; Liang, P.; Zhang, B. Anal. Biochem. 2011, 417, 112− 121.

(6) Beaucage, S. L.; Caruthers, M. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1859−1862.

(7) (a) Seela, F.; Sirivolu, V. R.; Chittepu, P. Bioconjugate Chem. 2008, 19, 211−224. (b) Seela, F.; Sirivolu, V. R. Helv. Chim. Acta 2007, 90, 535−552. (c) Gierlich, J.; Burley, G. A.; Gramlich, P. M. E.; Hammond, D. M.; Carell, T. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3639−3642.

(8) Ding, P.; Wunnicke, D.; Steinhoff, H.-J.; Seela, F. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 14385−14396.

(9) (a) Jakobsen, U.; Shelke, S. A.; Vogel, S.; Sigurdsson, S. Th. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10424−10428. (b) Kaura, M.; Kumar, P.; Hrdlicka, P. J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 8575−8578. (c) Andersen,

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article Article Article Article Article**

N. K.; Døssing, H.; Jensen, F.; Vester, B.; Nielsen, P. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6177−6187.

(10) Graham, D.; Parkinson, J. A.; Brown, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 1131−1138.

(11) Ramzaeva, N.; Seela, F. Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 1083−1090. (12) Barr, P. J.; Jones, A. S.; Serafinowski, P.; Walker, R. T. J. Chem.

Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1978, 1263−1267. (13) Barr, P. J.; Chananont, P.; Hamor, T. A.; Jones, A. S.; O'Leary,

M. K.; Walker, R. T. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 1269−1273.

(14) Kampf, A.; Pillar, C. J.; Woodford, W. J.; Mertes, M. P. J. Med. Chem. 1976, 19, 909−915.

(15) Mizushima, E.; Sato, K.; Hayashi, T.; Tanaka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4563−4565.

(16) (a) Jones, A. S.; Serafinowski, P.; Walker, R. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 28, 2459−2460. (b) Kielkowski, P.; Pohl, R.; Hocek, M. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 3457−3462.

(17) Birari, D. R.; Ghagare, M. G.; Kazi, M. A.; Bagul, S. M.; Ghotekar, B. K.; Toche, R. B.; Jachak, M. N. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2009, 41, 515−532.

(18) Bobek, M.; Kavai, I.; Sharma, R. A.; Grill, S.; Dutschman, G.; Cheng, Y.-C. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 2154−2157.

(19) Ramzaeva, N.; Seela, F. Helv. Chim. Acta 1996, 79, 1549−1558. (20) Hudson, R. H. E.; Ghorbani-Choghamarani, A. Synlett 2007, 6, 870−873.

(21) Borsenberger, V.; Kukwikila, M.; Howorka, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 3826−3835.

(22) Roychowdhury, A.; Illangkoon, H.; Hendrickson, C. L.; Benner, S. A. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 489−492.